



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/123 //30	07728798	YATES	D	M4065.073/P0

MM21/0317 THOMAS J D AMICO

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN AND OSHINSKY 2101 L STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526

EXAMINER GUERRERU, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2822

03/17/99 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/123,430 Applicant(s)

Yates

Examiner

Hung Duong

Group Art Unit 2822



Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance e in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication.	n is set to expire o month(s), or thirty days, whichever. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	is/are allowed.				
	is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
	are despect to restriction or dissillar requirement.				
Application Papers	1 Due 1 to D. 1 DTO 040				
	-				
The drawing(s) filed on is/a					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED	copies of the priority documents have been				
☐ received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/S	serial Number)				
ireceived in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449,	Paper No(s).				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	, PTO-948				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACT.	ION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Serial Number: 09/123,430

Art Unit 2822

Page 2 Paper # 3

Restriction Requirement

Claims 1 to 47 are pending in this application.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 28 – 43, and 45-47, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 9.

7 Class 257, subclass 9.8 II. Claims 1 - 27,

II. Claims 1 - 27, and 44 drawn to a process of making a semiconductor device apparatus, classified in Class 438, subclass 747.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the method of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different than that of the group II invention, for example, in claim 1, occationally removing the upper portion of the semiconductor processing fluid present in the bath. Note specifically In re Thorpe et al. as detailed below:

Even though product - by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product - by - process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe , 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted)

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Serial Number: 09/123,430

Art Unit 2822

Page 3 Paper # 3

Restriction Requirement

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2822 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2822 via the Technology Center 2800 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4 - C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center numbers are (703) 308-7722 and (703) 308-7724. The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Art Unit 2822's Supervisory Patent Examiner *Peter Toby Brown* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-4083**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 6:45 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Technology Center 2800 Receptionist* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0956**.

PTB 03/16/99

Peter Toby Brown
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2822